# Impact of an L5 Magnetograph on Non-Potential Solar Global Magnetic Field Modeling



## Duncan H Mackay Solar and Magnetospheric Theory Group University of St Andrews

# Outline

• Part 1 : Overview

Space Weather Coronal Mass Ejections L5 mission (Carrington/Lagrange)

• Part 2: Model

Global Non-Potential Simulations.

• Part 3: Improvements in Global Modelling with L5 Magnetograph

Three simulations: Reference Sun L1 only L1 and L5

- Summary and Future Studies.
- Published Results: Mackay, Yeates and Bocquet, (2016), ApJ, 825,131

# **Space Weather**

Space Weather (SW) : describes a wide range of possible phenomena that originate
 on the Sun but have consequences at the Earth.



 Overview of Space Weather: COSPAR and ILWS road map (Schrijver et al. 2015).

Solar Origin	Effect at Earth	
Solar Flares	Ionisation of atmosphere & Radio Blackouts	
Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs)	Geomagnetic Storms & Ground Induced Currents & Effect of GPS and GNSS	
EUV Irradiance	Heats upper atmosphere ( spacecraft drag)	
CH/Open Flux/Solar Wind IMF, magnetopause, Heliospheric Current S		
SEPs	Damage Satellite Electronics & Danger to Manned Missions.	

### **Coronal Mass Ejections**

- Ejection of mass and magnetic field from the Sun
  - speed ~ 100-3000km/s
  - Mass ~ 1.6x10<sup>12</sup> kg
  - mean transit time ~ 3 days
  - cause severe space weather events

(Forbes et al. 2006, Webb and Howard 2012- OBS Chen 2011 - Theory )



#### (Cremades and St Cyr 2007)

STEREO-A/SECCHI 2011-06-06 00:00UT



Origin of CMEs: many theories ~ non-potential fields (free magnetic energy, electric currents).

#### STEREO – COR 2



# L5 Mission Concept

• L5 mission planned as an operational Space Weather mission: early study stage.

http://www.esa.int/Our\_Activities/Space\_Safety/Lagrange\_mission https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/weather/learn-about/space-weather/l5-mission

- Place satellite with remote sensing and in-situ instruments at the L5 points 60° behind Earth (increased coverage of Sun)
- Complements similar instrument at L1.



- Possible payload see working group pages for more update info.
- Remote sensing
  - Coronagraph Heliospheric Imager EUV Imager X-Ray Flux Monitor Magnetograph
- In-situ
  - Magnetometer Plasma Instruments







#### **Heliospheric Imager**





## **Key Questions**

- Can a L5 magnetograph improve our understanding of global non-potential fields on the Sun ?
- If so, how much of an improvement can we expect ?

### Part 2: Global Non-Potential Model

- Long Term continuous simulations (months to years).
  - Build up free magnetic energy and helicity.
- Two coupled components:

Photosphere: Flux Transport Model

- simulates evolution of B<sub>r</sub> on Sun.
- includes flux emergence (+/- ve helicity).

Corona : Magnetofrictional Relaxation

- quasi-static evolution
- non-linear force-free states, **j** x **B** = **0**
- development of sheared fields along PIL (van Ballegooijen and Martens 1989)
- Development and Application: van Ballegooijen et al 2000; Mackay and van Ballegooijen 2006a,b; Yeates et al. 2007, 2008a,b, 2009a,b.





#### Two Component Model

• Evolve, Suns large-scale field, **B**, through the induction equation.

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \nabla \boldsymbol{\times} \boldsymbol{A}$$

• Photospheric BC : Flux Transport Model



Coronal Model : Magneto-Frictional Relaxation (velocity ∝ lorentz force.)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_0}{\partial t} = \mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_0 - \mathbf{E}_0,$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} = -\frac{\mathbf{B}_{0}}{B_{0}^{2}} \nabla \cdot (\eta_{4} B_{0}^{2} \nabla \alpha_{0}), \quad \alpha_{0} = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{0}}{B_{0}^{2}} \qquad \mathbf{v}_{0} = \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\mathbf{j}_{0} \times \mathbf{B}_{0}}{B_{0}^{2}} + v_{\text{out}}(r) \mathbf{e}_{r}.$$

Coronal field evolves through a series of quasi-static force-free states (j x B = 0).

 $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\overrightarrow{\textbf{physical.}}$   $\eta(|\textbf{j}|) = \eta_o \left(1 + c \frac{|\textbf{j}|}{B_{max}}\right)$   $\eta_o = 0.1D, \quad D = 600km^2s^{-1}, \quad c = 0.2$ 

#### Flux Emergence

• Bipoles are inserted as an isolated field containing either zero, +ve or –ve helicity (alpha) both in the photosphere and corona.



#### Pre-existing Field

New Emergence

## **Previous Applications**

 Chirality and helicity in solar filaments : blue correct, red incorrect (Yeates, Mackay and van Ballegooijen).





 Improved Open Flux compared to
 PFSS models
 (Yeates and Mackay 2010).





Flux rope ejection/CME rates (Yeates 2014)





### **Stage 3: Simulations**

- Aim: Determine what effect having increased magnetogram data will have on accuracy of global NLFFF simulations three simulations
  - 1. Reference Simulation: 22yr 3D NLFFF simulation with random emergences of bipole at all longitudes ("real Sun").
  - Limited Data simulations: 22yr simulations but bipole emergences limited to FOV – taken to be 30° from limb.

Earth & L5



#### Earth based

### **Stage 3: Reference Sun Simulation**

- Construct a reference data set:
  - 360° magnetograph coverage of Sun-theoretical bipole data set
  - 22yr 3D NLFFF simulation (emergences of bipole at all longitudes)
  - Best representation of "Real Sun"
  - IC potential field
  - No. of Bipoles : 4770 Flux Emerged : 3.21 e25 Mx





\_atitude

### **Reference Sun Properties**

• Evolution of Br at photosphere and global integrated quantities.



• Example Coronal Field

Day 0







**Potential Field** 

NLFFF

## **Limited FOV Simulations**

• Consider present circumstances: limit FOV of the Sun.



- Use Reference Sun simulation as limited FOV magnetograph observations: L1 and L1/L5
- Identify bipole emergences in limited lon.
   range.



• Repeat 22yr 3D non-potential simulations with limited FOV bipoles.

## Illustration of Bipole Identification



- Reference Sun: 4 bipoles emerge (φ : 20-210) CM ~ 291°
- L1 (Earth): FOV CM-60° CM+60°

Rot ~ 3 (1.22,2.0,5.6 days), 1 decayed

L1/L5 : FOV: CM-120° – CM+60°

Real time ~ 2, Rot. ~ 1 (1.5 days), 1 decayed

- 3 categories of bipoles
  - (i) Real Time (\*) emerges in FOV
    (ii) Rotational updates (
    ) bipole emerges outside FOV rotates into FOV.
  - (iii) Decayed bipoles(△) decayed before entering FOV, not included.

#### **Rotational Updates: Evolution of Bipole Parameters**

• All late emergences of bipoles must have their properties updated to represent how they would be seen when rotating into the FOV

• Location:  $\begin{aligned} \theta_{cen}(t) &= \theta_{cen}(t=0) = \theta_{cen} \forall t \\ \phi_{cen}(t) &= \phi_{cen}(t=0) + \frac{\Omega(\theta_1) + \Omega(\theta_2)}{2} t \end{aligned}$ • Tilt Angle:  $\begin{aligned} tan\gamma(t) &= \frac{2\rho(0)sin\gamma(0)}{2\rho(0)cos\gamma(0) + rd\Omega tsin\theta_c} \end{aligned}
 \qquad d\Omega &= \Omega(\theta_1) - \Omega(\theta_2) \end{aligned}$ • Seperation:  $\rho(t) &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho(0)cos\gamma(0)}{rsin\theta_c} + \frac{d\Omega t}{2}\right)^2 r^2 sin^2\theta_c} + \rho^2(0)sin^2\gamma(0)$ 

• Flux: analytical solution of flux transport equation in lagrangian coordinates.

$$\frac{B_z}{\partial t} = D\left(1 + \Omega_o^2 t^2\right) \frac{\partial^2 B_z}{\partial a_1^2} + 2D\Omega_o t \frac{\partial^2 B_z}{\partial a_1 \partial a_2} + D \frac{\partial^2 B_z}{\partial a_2^2}$$
$$\Phi(t) = B_o \rho_o^3 e^{1/2} \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{W}{Q}}$$

$$Q(t) = 4AC - B^{2}$$

$$W(t) = Asin^{2}\gamma_{o} + Bsin\gamma_{o}cos\gamma_{o} + Ccos^{2}\gamma_{o}$$

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{4}\rho_{o}^{2}sin^{2}\gamma_{o} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{o}^{2}cos^{2}\gamma_{o} + Dt + \frac{1}{3}D\Omega_{o}^{2}t^{3}$$

$$B(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\rho_{o}^{2}sin\gamma_{o}cos\gamma_{o} + D\Omega_{o}t^{2}$$

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{4}\rho_{o}^{2}cos^{2}\gamma_{o} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{o}^{2}sin^{2}\gamma_{o} + Dt$$

### **Comparison of Photospheric Field**

• Number of bipoles:

Simulation	Real Time	Rot. Update	Missing
L1	1427	1152	2146
L1 & L5	2140	1178	1407

Ref. Sun Bz, r= 1.0000 Day 1850





### **Comparison of Coronal Field**





**Ref. Sun** 

L1/L5



#### Relative Accuracy of L1 and L1/L5



$$\Phi_{s}(t) = R_{\odot}^{2} \int_{s} |B_{r}(R_{\odot}, \theta, \phi, t)| d\Omega,$$
$$E_{m}(t) = \int_{V} \frac{B^{2}(r, \theta, \phi, t)}{8\pi} d\tau,$$
$$J_{V}(t) = \int_{V} |j(r, \theta, \phi, t)| d\tau,$$

Red – L1 plus L5 FOV Black – L1 only FOV Blue - % improvement with L5

#### • Number of flux ropes



• Open Flux: missed bipoles have severe consequence.



## Summary

- Considered what improvements can be expected in the accuracy of global nonpotential models if L5 magnetograph data exists.
- Used a reference sun simulation & two limited data simulations.
   Limited data: L1 only
   L1 & L5
- L1 & L5 simulation gives improvements of between 26-40% in global quantities dominated by low latitude contributions compared to Earth only.
- L1 & L5 attains an accuracy of 65-78% of reference global quantities (46-57% for Earth only).
- Full details given in published paper along with a comparison of other quantities and outline of future studies that are presently underway.

Mackay, Yeates and Bocquet (2016), APJ, V825

#### Still to Do

• Global Properties: Number of Ejected flux ropes.

 Local Properties: Correlation in free magnetic energy storage locations.
 Location and timing of flux rope ejections – in particular flux rope ejections between +/- 60° of CM.